PART IV

Plants and Algae

Ch010-P088781.qxd 12/29/05 19:13 Page 352

G

CHAPTER 10

A Metapopulation Perspective on the Patch Dynamics of Giant Kelp in Southern California

DANIEL C. REED, BRIAN P. KINLAN, PETER T. RAIMONDI, LIBE WASHBURN, BRIAN GAYLORD, and PATRICK T. DRAKE

- I. Introduction
- II. Dynamics of Giant Kelp Populations
- III. Factors Affecting Colonization
 - A. Life History Constraints
 - B. Modes of Colonization
 - C. Spore Production, Release, and Competency
 - D. Postsettlement Processes

IV. Spore Dispersal

- A. Factors Affecting Colonization Distance
- B. Empirical Estimates of Spore Dispersal
- C. Modeled Estimates of Spore Dispersal
- V. Connectivity Among Local Populations
- VI. Summary

References

I. INTRODUCTION

Large brown algae in the Order Laminariales are conspicuous inhabitants of shallow subtidal reefs in cool seas worldwide. This diverse group of seaweeds, known as *kelps*, consists of 27 genera that vary tremendously in size, morphology, life span, and habitat (Kain, 1979; Dayton, 1985; Estes and Steinberg, 1988). Species differ greatly even within genera, as evidenced in *Laminaria*, whose congeners include annuals and long-lived perennials inhabiting areas ranging from the tropics to the High Arctic, and from the intertidal down to depths of 70 m

Daniel C. Reed et al.

(Kain, 1979). Most kelps are short in stature and extend no more than a meter or two from the bottom. They commonly occur in aggregations called *beds*, which often form a dense subsurface canopy near the seafloor. Several species, however, grow very large (as much as 45 m in length). These "giant kelps" contain gasfilled structures that allow them to produce a floating canopy that extends to the surface in water depths as great as 30 m. Beds of these giant kelps are frequently called *kelp forests*, because their vertical structure and multiple vegetation layers resemble terrestrial forests (Darwin, 1860; Foster and Schiel, 1985). Kelps are very fast growing, and kelp beds are considered to be among the most productive ecosystems in the world, comparable, for example, to tropical rain forests (Mann, 1973, 1982). The ecology of kelp stands and the diverse communities that they support have been summarized in several comprehensive reviews (e.g., North, 1971; Mann, 1982; Dayton, 1985; Schiel and Foster, 1986; Witman and Dayton, 2001).

The demographics and population dynamics of kelps are as wide ranging as the diverse morphologies and growth habits that characterize the Order. In this chapter we limit our discussions to the giant kelp *Macrocystis*—an extensively studied, ecologically important genus that is widely distributed in cool seas of the northern and southern hemispheres (Womersley, 1954). *Macrocystis* forms extensive forests off the coast of California, and a considerable amount is known about their biology and ecology in this region (see reviews by North, 1971, 1994; Foster and Schiel, 1985; and Murray and Bray, 1993). Discontinuities in hard substrate in the nearshore cause *Macrocystis* to be distributed in discrete patches of varying size that expand and contract in response to biotic and abiotic changes in the environment. The dynamic and sometimes asynchronous behavior of these patches has long been recognized, yet metapopulation theory has rarely been invoked to explain it. This is due in part to an insufficient understanding of the limits of dispersal in kelps, the conditions that promote exchange among discrete kelp patches, and the frequencies at which these conditions occur.

In this chapter we examine the patch dynamics of *Macrocystis* in southern California from a metapopulation perspective. We begin by synthesizing existing and new information pertaining to the metapopulation structure and dynamics of *Macrocystis* in the Southern California Bight. Next we review the biological and physical factors that affect colonization, and we present new empirical and theoretical estimates of spore dispersal distance for varying oceanic conditions. This information is used to estimate levels of connectivity among discrete kelp patches for different current regimes. We define connectivity as demographic exchange between patches, which in the case of giant kelp occurs primarily via the passive transport of propagules. We intentionally do not discuss mechanisms responsible for the maintenance of extant populations (e.g., short distance dispersal that results in self-replenishment). Instead, we focus on empirical and theoretical estimates of local population extinction, local population establishment (i.e.,

354

Ch010-P088781.qxd 12/29/05 19:13 Page 355

Chapter 10 A Metapopulation Perspective on the Patch Dynamics of Giant Kelp 3

colonization), and immigration, which are considered to be "in the hearth of metapopulation ecology" (Hanski, 1999, p. 27). We emphasize studies done in southern California, the system with which we are most familiar, and we draw heavily from our own research when addressing issues pertaining to dispersal and connectivity among local populations. We conclude with a discussion of the applicability of the metapopulation concept to giant kelp, and identify future research needed to improve characterization of metapopulation dynamics in kelps and other seaweeds.

II. DYNAMICS OF GIANT KELP POPULATIONS

Local populations of Macrocystis fluctuate greatly in time and space in response to a complex of predictable (seasonal) and unpredictable events. Increased water motion associated with winter storms and swell is a major source of plant mortality (Dayton and Tegner, 1984; Ebeling et al., 1985; Seymour et al., 1989). The frequency and intensity of storm events vary unpredictably among years, causing erratic annual fluctuations in population size (Rosenthal et al., 1974; Foster, 1982; Dayton et al., 1992). Likewise, differences in depth and wave exposure cause rates of storm-related mortality to vary substantially among sites (Dayton et al., 1984; Graham et al., 1997; Edwards, 2001. Prolonged periods of warm, nutrient-depleted water such as those associated with El Niño southern oscillation (ENSO) events can lead to local (Zimmerman and Robertson, 1985; Reed et al., 1996) and widespread (Dayton and Tegner, 1989) kelp loss, and prevent subsequent recovery. Finally, intensive grazing (most notably by sea urchins) can eliminate entire beds (reviewed in Dayton, 1985; Foster and Schiel, 1985; and Harrold and Pearse, 1987). Conditions that promote or suppress outbreaks of sea urchin grazing are often localized in southern California, which causes asynchrony in the dynamics of local populations in this region (Ebeling et al., 1985; Harrold and Reed, 1985; Reed et al., 2000).

Population growth in *Macrocystis* is solely dependent on sexual reproduction; fragmentation, clonal growth, and other forms of vegetative reproduction do not occur. Recruitment of new plants occurs when favorable conditions of light, nutrients, and primary space coincide with periods of abundant spore supply. These factors are most likely to co-occur in the winter and spring, and depend on both chance events and the local density of adult plants (Deysher and Dean, 1986; Reed, 1990; Graham, 2000). Like many terrestrial forests, giant kelp forests have a complex vertical structure composed of several canopy layers. Competition for sunlight and space among canopy members plays an important role in regulating the recruitment of new individuals (Pearse and Hines, 1979; Dayton et al., 1984; Reed and Foster, 1984; Reed et al., 1997). Adult *Macrocystis* are the dominant competitors for light (Dayton et al., 1999) and provide the nearest source

of spores for recruitment. Disturbances that alter the abundance of adult plants can interact with density-dependent processes to produce kelp forests with qualitatively different dynamics and size structures (Dean and et al., 1989; Nisbet and Bence, 1989; Burgman and Gerard, 1990; Tegner et al., 1997).

Discrete stands of giant kelp go extinct and reappear at irregular intervals. Large-scale phenomena such as El Niño events occur unpredictably in time and can produce widespread kelp loss. For example, large waves and adverse growing conditions associated with the strong El Niños of 1982 to 1983 and 1997 to 1998 eliminated *Macrocystis* from most areas of southern California and Baja California (Dayton and Tegner, 1989; Edwards, 2001). The additive effects of smaller scale but equally intense disturbances, such as those resulting from intensive sea urchin and amphipod grazing can cause giant kelp to display much higher rates of local extinction and recolonization at some sites (Ebeling et al., 1985; Tegner and Dayton, 1987).

Predictions from theoretical models also suggest that local populations of *Macrocystis* have a relatively high probability of extinction. Burgman and Gerard (1990) examined persistence in *Macrocystis* using a stage-structured population model that incorporated environmental and demographic stochasticity. Their model predicted a 60% chance that the adult density of a local population will fall to zero during a 20-year period; the occurrence of an El Niño event increased the likelihood of extinction probability to 80%.

To assess the regional-scale generality of the previously mentioned field observations and model predictions, we estimated rates of patch extinction and colonization from long-term aerial observations of giant kelp forests in southern California. Since 1958, ISP Alginates, Inc., a San Diego–based kelp harvesting company, has conducted aerial surveys of *Macrocystis* beds in southern California. During these surveys, observers use canopy area and density to gauge the biomass of kelp harvestable from the surface (0–1 m depth); visual estimates of biomass are then calibrated to actual harvested amounts. After a 10-year period of ground-truthing, aerial biomass estimation methods were standardized in January 1968. Subsequent surveys were carried out, on an approximately monthly basis, by one of two trained observers (D. Glantz, personal communication). Survey data were interpolated onto a regular monthly grid (B. Kinlan, unpublished data). Here, we use surveys conducted between January 1968 and October 2002 (418 months) from an approximately 500-km stretch of coast between Pt. Arguello and the US–Mexico border (Fig. 10-1).

These surveys provide a long-term record of the presence or absence of giant kelp canopy in administrative kelp beds defined by the California Department of Fish and Game (approximately 2–20 km in along-coast extent; Fig. 10-1), with sufficient temporal resolution to identify administrative bedwide extinction and recolonization events. However, greater spatial resolution is needed to identify discrete patches of habitat that can potentially be colonized by giant kelp (i.e.,

356

G



Chapter 10 A Metapopulation Perspective on the Patch Dynamics of Giant Kelp 357

FIGURE 10-1. Map of the mainland coast of southern California, depicting giant kelp canopy detected by aerial infrared photography in 1989, 1999, and 2002 (black shading) and administrative kelp bed units (outlines) assigned by the California Department of Fish and Game. (Inset) Detail of three discrete patches of giant kelp (different shades of gray) identified according to the criteria described in Section II. These patches are separated by more than 500 m at their closest point. Note that a single administrative bed may contain multiple patches, and patches can extend across administrative bed boundaries. Patches are considered to "belong" to the bed in which the majority of surface canopy falls. (Composite map and patch classification by B. Kinlan, using unpublished data from the California Department of Fish and Game.)

firm substrates at appropriate depth; hereafter referred to simply as *patches*) as required for an analysis of metapopulation dynamics. To identify discrete patches of giant kelp habitat, we used digital maps of giant kelp canopy occurrence derived from aerial infrared imagery (~3–5 m resolution) of the California coast from Pt. Arguello to the U.S.-Mexico border for the years 1989, 1999 and 2002 (produced by California Dept. of Fish and Game). Our collective analyses of these images and the 40 + year data set collected by ISP Alginates showed that at least two of these surveys (1989, 2002) captured giant kelp canopies at their annual peak, and all three surveys were conducted in years when giant kelp biomass was near its 20-year high across the region (B. Kinlan, unpublished data). We therefore used the combined giant kelp canopy area identified by these three maps to approximate the distribution of giant kelp patches in each of the California Department of Fish and Game administrative beds (Fig. 10-1). Patches were defined as discrete areas in which the composite *Macrocystis* canopy (i.e., that

estimated by overlaying images of the three aerial infrared surveys) was either contiguous or separated by gaps of less than 500 m. Comparison with other digital giant kelp canopy maps available for smaller portions of this region (spanning, in some cases, >30 years and 200 km of coast) suggests that the combination of the chosen three region wide surveys done in 1989, 1999, and 2002 captured more than 95% of habitat patches (North et al., 1993; B. Kinlan, unpublished data).

To estimate rates of patch extinction and colonization, we considered each patch within a given California Department of Fish and Game administrative bed to be "occupied" for any month in which ISP Alginates noted the presence of surface canopy kelp in that administrative bed. We considered patches to have gone "extinct" when no surface canopy was detected within the area of the administrative bed for 6 or more consecutive months. Under most conditions, subsurface juvenile plants would grow to form a surface canopy in 6 months or less (Foster and Schiel, 1985). Note that all patches within the confines of a given administrative kelp bed are considered occupied whenever the biomass estimate exceeds zero and, conversely, all are considered extinct when no canopy is detected. Consequently, this method could over- or underestimate actual rates of extinction and colonization, depending on the degree to which patches within an administrative kelp bed fluctuate synchronously. However, because each administrative kelp bed contains only a small number of discrete patches (mean, 2.8; interquartile range, 1–4) and patch fluctuations are positively autocorrelated at small spatial scales (i.e., 1–10km; B. Kinlan, unpublished data), the resulting biases in extinction and colonization rates should be relatively small.

At a regional scale, occupancy of the giant kelp habitat mosaic is extremely dynamic (Fig. 10-2). During the 34-year study period, the estimated fraction of patches occupied in southern California approached 100% in some months, but dipped to approximately 0% after a major El Niño event (1982-1984). In fact, for much of the time from 1982 to 1984, no surface canopy was detected in the aerial biomass surveys. We know from diver surveys that some scattered subsurface juveniles were present during this period (e.g., Dayton and Tegner, 1984; Dayton et al., 1999), but clearly they did not grow to form significant surface canopies within our 6-month "window" for defining extinction. This highlights the fact that, under very stressful environmental conditions, Macrocystis may experience suppressed recruitment and growth of juvenile stages that delays the formation of a surface canopy (Dean and Jacobsen, 1984, 1986; Kinlan et al., 2003). Delayed growth of juveniles could, in certain cases, lead to recovery of local populations even in the absence of nearby spore sources (Ladah et al., 1999), in a manner similar to the "propagule rain" effect described by Gotelli (1991).

Extinction probabilities, defined here as the monthly probability of a patch going from occupied to extinct, ranged from 0.005 to 0.292 (mean \pm SD, 0.057

358

G



FIGURE 10-2. Percent of giant kelp patches along the mainland coast of southern California (n = 69 patches) occupied on a monthly basis from January 1968 to October 2002 as estimated from

surface canopy observations made during aerial overflights.

 \pm 0.063; Fig. 10-3A). Recolonization probabilities, defined as the monthly probability of a patch going from extinct to occupied, ranged from 0.023 to 0.200 (mean \pm SD, 0.080 \pm 0.040; Fig. 10-3B). These monthly rates agree with models and observations that suggest kelp forest patches are highly dynamic at the scale of months to years (e.g., the 0.8 probability of extinction in a 20-year period cited earlier corresponds to a monthly rate of just 0.003). On average, extinction of a patch in our study region lasted from six months to four years (Fig. 10-4A), and patches remained occupied for one to five years (Fig. 10-4B). However, in certain cases extinctions lasted as little as a few months or as much as 13 years (Fig. 10-4C) and patches of kelp persisted for several months to 15 years (Fig. 10-4D).

Extinction and recolonization rates varied with patch size and patch isolation (Fig. 10-5). We used the square root of patch area as a measure of size, and the average size of surrounding patches weighted by the inverse square of distance as a measure of isolation (Thomas and Hanski, 1997). Patch isolation explained more variation in extinction (Fig. 10-5B) and recolonization (Fig. 10-5D) rates than patch size (Figs. 10-5A, C), suggesting that immigration rates are dependent on distance and source population size. The lower extinction rates in highly connected (i.e., low-isolation) patches indicate that rescue effects may play an important role in patch dynamics (Brown and Kodrik–Brown, 1977; Hanski,

Chapter 10 A Metapopulation Perspective on the Patch Dynamics of Giant Kelp 359



FIGURE 10-3. (A, B) Monthly rates of extinction (A) and recolonization (B) of giant kelp patches along the mainland coast of southern California, based on a 34-year monthly time series of surface canopy biomass. Bin size, 0.04; ticks denote lower edges of bins.

1999). The lower colonization rates in highly isolated patches (Fig. 10-5D) indicate that immigration rates may limit recolonization of isolated patches. The statistical significance of the relatively low correlations between patch size and extinction (Fig. 10-5A) and recolonization (Fig. 10-5C) was driven primarily by the two or three largest patches. Large kelp forests may have a low chance of stochastic extinction because of their large population size. Moreover, the greater amount of suitable habitat in large kelp forests may increase the likelihood that at least some portion of the patch is recolonized. Collectively, these results confirm impressions from smaller scale studies that kelp forests are dynamic mosaics, characterized by frequent extinction and recolonization from nearby patches.

III. FACTORS AFFECTING COLONIZATION

A. LIFE HISTORY CONSTRAINTS

Basic knowledge of kelp life history is important for understanding the dynamics of local populations and the degree of connectivity among them. A characteristic feature of all kelps is that they undergo an alternation of generations between a macroscopic diploid sporophyte (a spore-producing plant) and a microscopic haploid gametophyte (a gamete-producing plant; Fritch, 1945). Meiosis occurs in the adult sporophyte to produce male and female zoospores



Chapter 10 A Metapopulation Perspective on the Patch Dynamics of Giant Kelp

FIGURE 10-4. (A D) Duration of patch extinctions and patch persistence of giant kelp along the mainland coast of southern California based on a 34-year monthly time series of surface canopy biomass. Upper panels (A, B) show histograms of the average extinction and persistence time for 69 discrete patches. Lower panels (C, D) show the durations of all extinction and persistence intervals observed during the 34-year study, revealing extremely long and extremely short extinction and persistence intervals not reflected by the averages in (A) and (B).

that are the primary dispersive stage. After a relatively short dispersal period (i.e., hours to days; Reed et al., 1992; Gaylord et al., 2002) zoospores (hereafter referred to as *spores*) settle to the bottom and germinate into sessile, free-living, microscopic gametophytes. In contrast to most marine organisms, fertilization in kelps occurs after dispersal, when a pheromone released by the female gametophyte triggers the liberation of sperm from the male gametophyte and guides the sperm to the nonmotile egg (Müller, 1981). The distance over which the pheromone is effective in attracting sperm is believed to be less than 1 mm (Boland et al., 1983). Consequently, recruitment into the sporophyte generation

Daniel C. Reed et al.



FIGURE 10-5. (A – D) Monthly probabilities for patch extinction versus patch size (A), patch extinction versus patch isolation (B), patch recolonization versus patch isolation (D). Data are from 69 discrete patches of giant kelp observed from 1968 to 2002 along the mainland coast of southern California. Patch size is defined as $L_i = \sqrt{A_i}$, where A_i is the area of patch *i* in square kilometers. Patch isolation is defined as the inverse distance-weighted average size of surrounding patches that are occupied in any given month, averaged over all T = 418

months, $I_j = \frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{i=1} \left[\left(\sum_{i \neq j} L_i \cdot \frac{1}{D_{i,j}^2} \right) \right] / \left(\sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{D_{i,j}^2} \right) \right]$, where $D_{i,j}$ denotes the linear distance between occuppied patches *i* and *j*. Note that low values of the patch isolation index correspond to a high degree

of isolation (indicated by arrow). Lines show fitted least-squares regressions:

(A) P (extinction) = $-0.0316\sqrt{\text{patch area}} + 0.0708$.

- (B) $\ln[P \text{ (extinction)}] = -0.8643 \ln(\text{isolation}) 4.7217.$
- (C) P (colonization) = $0.0349\sqrt{\text{patch area}} + 0.0644$.

(D) P (colonization) = 0.0760(isolation) + 0.0544.

 r^2 and P values for regressions are as shown.

is largely confined to areas of relatively dense spore settlement (e.g., >1 spore per square millimeter), where the probability of encounter between male and female gametes is sufficiently high to ensure fertilization (Reed, 1990; Reed et al., 1991). Thus, a major constraint limiting the distances over which kelps are able to colonize is the dilution of spores that accompanies their dispersal. This constraint on colonization distance decreases with increasing size of the source population (Anderson and North, 1966; Reed et al., 1997). This is because an increase in the concentration of spores at the point of release generally results in a proportional increase in the concentration of spores at a given distance from that point.

Some variants of this life history involving the production of sporophytes without fertilization (i.e., apomixis) have been described from laboratory cultures for several species of kelp, including *Macrocystis* (reviewed by Lewis, 1996). However, the development of kelp sporophytes from unfused gametes (i.e., parthenogenesis) or from gametophytes that do not produce gametes (i.e., apogamy) typically results in abnormalities, and there is little evidence that kelp sporophytes produced via apomixis are common in nature.

B. MODES OF COLONIZATION

Local emigration and immigration of Macrocystis occurs in one of two ways: via dispersal of microscopic spores or via the transport of large sporophytes that become dislodged and set adrift (hereafter referred to as drifters). The tiny biflagellated spore (approximately 6μ m in diameter) is the only motile stage in an otherwise sedentary life form (kelp sperm are also motile, but are believed to disperse no farther than a few millimeters; Müller, 1981; Boland et al., 1983). Swimming speeds of kelp spores are relatively slow (approximately 180µm/second; C. Amsler, personal communication); however, and the distances that spores disperse are determined largely by advective currents and vertical mixing (Gaylord et al., 2002). Factors that influence these physical processes may play an important role in determining the extent of spore dispersal (Gaylord et al., 2004). Kelp itself may be particularly important in this regard because drag from the fronds acts to slow down currents that pass through the forest (Jackson and Winant, 1983; Jackson, 1998). Consequently, spores released near the center of the forest are more likely to be retained than spores released closer to the downstream edge (Graham, 2003).

Emigration via drifters occurs when wave forces rip whole plants off the bottom, and ocean currents export them out of the forest. This most frequently occurs during storms, which can remove entire populations of *Macrocystis* and transport them en masse (Rosenthal et al., 1974; Dayton and Tegner, 1984; Ebeling et al., 1985; Seymour et al., 1989; Edwards, 2004). The percentage of drifters that successfully immigrate to new reefs and establish residency has been

poorly documented; however, it is likely to be quite low. Most plants set adrift during winter storms appear to end up on the beach soon after becoming detached (ZoBell, 1971; Harrold and Lisin, 1989), or are transported offshore (Kingsford, 1995; Hobday, 2000).

Successful immigration involving drifters is not necessarily contingent upon them taking up residence at a new site. Drifters have the capacity to produce and release spores during transport, and thus they have the potential to influence the colonization of neighboring reefs by providing a localized source of spores. However, it is important to recognize that a drifting plant or plant fragment constitutes a relatively small spore source, and constraints on colonization distance resulting from dilution effects are expected to be high, especially in the case when drifters are transported high in the water column. Such constraints argue that any colonization originating from spores released by drifters would occur in isolated patches only along the drift trajectories. Such localized recruitment contrasts greatly with the widespread, relatively uniform recruitment of Macrocystis that is typically observed after large disturbance events (Dayton and Tegner, 1984; Dayton et al., 1992; Edwards, 2004, even at sites located relatively far from the nearest source of spores (e.g., Ebeling et al., 1985; Reed et al., 2004). For drifters to account for such widespread phenomena would seemingly require two coincident factors: environmental conditions suitable for kelp recruitment, and either a constant supply or an adequate residence time of a large number of fecund drifters distributed over a substantial area. As far as we know, such conditions have never been reported. Thus, although spore dispersal from drifters may play a valuable role in occasional long-distance dispersal events that are important for biogeographical expansion and genetic exchange, they do not appear to play a major role in rapid recolonization events, which typify the patch dynamics of giant kelp in California.

The recovery of giant kelp populations destroyed by a disturbance need not be dependent on immigration if sufficient numbers of benthic microscopic stages survive the disturbance (Dayton, 1985; Kinlan et al., 2003). It has been suggested that banks of microscopic forms may function as a survival mechanism for benthic macroalgae in ways that are analogous to seed banks of terrestrial plants (Chapman, 1986; Hoffmann and Santelices, 1991). Under these circumstances, dispersal from another patch is not necessary for explaining recolonization events because local populations have the potential to be self-replenishing, even in the event of a prolonged absence of reproductive adults. Such may have been the case for *Macrocystis* near its southern limit in Baja California, after its widespread disappearance during the 1997–1998 El Niño. *Macrocystis* recolonized depopulated sites where the nearest known source of spores was more than 100 km away (Ladah et al., 1999). Recruitment occurred at least 6 months after all adult *Macrocystis* had succumbed to poor growing conditions. The source for these recruits was assumed to be benthic microscopic stages that persisted through the adverse

El Niño conditions. Such prolonged survival of microscopic kelp stages does not appear to be common in other parts of *Macrocystis*' range. Several experimental studies done in southern California indicated that microscopic stages of *Macrocystis* have a relatively short life span (typically less than a couple of weeks), and that the vast majority of recruitment originates from recently settled spores (Deysher and Dean, 1986; Reed et al., 1988; Reed, 1990; Reed et al., 1994; Reed et al., 1997). Moreover, dense recruitment of *Macrocystis* to newly constructed artificial reefs located several kilometers from the nearest spore source (Davis et al., 1982; Reed et al., 2004) provides conclusive evidence that spore immigration (whether released from attached plants on a neighboring reef, or from immigrant drifters) is a feasible means of colonization, and that the metapopulation concept is appropriate for explaining the dynamics of discrete giant kelp beds in southern California.

C. SPORE PRODUCTION, RELEASE, AND COMPETENCY

Macrocystis is not only one of the world's fastest growing autotrophs, but it is also one of the most fecund. Spores are produced in blades termed sporophylls that are located near the base of the plant. Each sporophyll may contain as many as 10 billion spores, and any given plant may produce a crop of 100 or more sporophylls at least twice per year (Reed et al., 1996; Graham, 2002). Plants generally begin producing spores during their first year after attaining a size of four to eight fronds and a wet somatic mass of 8 to 10kg (Neushul, 1963). Spores appear to be released continuously throughout the year, with peaks occurring in early winter and late spring/early summer (Anderson and North, 1967; Reed et al., 1996). Aperiodic events such as increased water motion associated with storms may accelerate the rate of spore release. Reed et al. (1997) observed a 50% decrease in sorus area of plants immediately after a large storm. Half this decrease resulted from a reduction in sorus length resulting from sporophyll erosion, which accompanies spore release (D.C. Reed, unpublished data). Unlike the bull kelp Nereocystis luetkeana, which displays strong diel periodicity in spore release (Amsler and Neushul, 1989b), Macrocystis shows little within-day variation in rates of spore liberation (Graham, 2003). Consequently, the timing of tidal or wind-driven changes in flow that occur on a daily basis is likely to be of little importance in determining the transport of spores.

Actively swimming spores of giant kelp are typically released into the plankton within 1 m of the bottom (Gaylord et al., 2002). They can remain swimming for several days, but most stop within 24 hours, regardless of whether they reach the bottom (Reed et al., 1992). There is some evidence that *Macrocystis* spores have a short (i.e., several hours) precompetency period during which time germination is impaired, should settlement occur prematurely (Reed and Lewis,

1994). Such phenomena could serve to promote outcrossing and reduce the adverse effects of inbreeding depression, which in *Macrocystis* are quite severe (Raimondi et al., 2004).

While in the plankton, spores are able to maintain net-positive photosynthetic rates under light conditions that are typical of the subtidal environment in which they are found (Amsler and Neushul, 1991). Photosynthesis, however, is not essential for spore motility. Like many marine larvae, kelp spores contain large internal lipid reserves that serve to fuel swimming and germination (Reed et al., 1992, 1999; Brzezinski et al., 1993). Energy derived from photosynthesis allows spores to conserve their internal lipid reserves and swim for a longer period of time (Reed et al., 1992). Spores that stop swimming before contacting a surface do not immediately die, but germinate in the water column and continue to grow and develop. Germinating in the water column, however, is not without costs. Although spore motility has little effect on the distance over which kelp spores are dispersed (Gaylord et al., 2002), it may greatly enhance a spore's ability to find a high-quality microsite in which to settle. Macrocystis spores (and those of the palm kelp Pterygophora californica) exhibit chemotaxis toward nutrients that not only stimulate settlement, but also promote growth and development after germination (Amsler and Neushul, 1989a, 1990). Hence, factors that prolong the swimming stage of spore development may increase the chances of successful settlement and recruitment, because a motile spore is better able to a select a favorable microsite than a nonmotile, planktonic germling. Perhaps more important is the increased dilution of propagules that accompanies a planktonic germling that remains in the water column for extended periods, reducing its chance of finding a mate and successfully reproducing.

D. POSTSETTLEMENT PROCESSES

The production of sporophytes from gametophytes requires a complex set of biotic and abiotic conditions. Light, nutrients, temperature, and sediments need to be within critical threshold levels for gametophytes to grow and reproduce (Devinny and Volse, 1978; Lüning and Neushul, 1978; Deysher and Dean, 1984, 1986; Kinlan et al., 2003). The co-occurrence of these factors in southern California is unpredictable in space and time because of variation in oceanographic conditions (Deysher and Dean, 1986). Biological processes can also alter levels of light and nutrients to influence patterns of sporophyte recruitment. For example, unlike the large *Macrocystis* sporophyte that monopolizes light, microscopic gametophytes are poor competitors for light and space, and readily succumb to larger and/or faster growing algae (Reed and Foster, 1984; Reed 1990). Not surprisingly, recruitment of *Macrocystis* sporophytes is typically greatest on surfaces lacking other biota (Reed and Foster, 1984; Ebeling et al., 1985;

Reed et al., 1997). Sporophyte production is also influenced by strong intra- and interspecific competition of microscopic stages (Reed, 1990; Reed et al., 1991). The need for spores to settle at high densities to ensure fertilization coupled with the drastic difference in size between the gametophyte and sporophyte phases essentially guarantee that strong density-dependent mortality will occur during the production of sporophytes. Additional mortality to early life stages of kelp results from grazing invertebrates and fishes, which can scour the bottom and cause patchiness in sporophyte recruitment over a range of spatial scales (Dean et al., 1984; Harris et al., 1984; Leonard, 1994).

IV. SPORE DISPERSAL

A. FACTORS AFFECTING COLONIZATION DISTANCE

The distance that a spore is dispersed is determined by the length of time it spends in the plankton and the speed, direction, and timescales of variability of the currents that transport it while it is suspended. Suspension times are influenced by the height above the bottom that a spore is released, and its net sinking rate. Turbulence produced from waves and currents, wind-driven surface mixing, water stratification, shoreline bathymetry, and bottom roughness all interact to influence net sinking rates of spores (Gaylord et al., 2004). In the case of small particles like kelp spores, which are nearly neutrally buoyant, turbulence acts to increase sinking rates (McNair et al., 1997). Even very slight turbulence can drastically reduce the average time it takes a spore to contact the bottom. For example, the mean suspension time for a Macrocystis spore released 42 cm off the bottom in still water is approximately 97 hours, but is expected to be only about 9 hours under conditions of a 2-cm per second current and 0.5-m waves, at least in regions outside kelp forests above relatively smooth sand flats (Gaylord et al., 2002). Within-forest processes will attenuate current speeds and likely reduce rates of vertical mixing, extending spore suspension times to a certain extent (reviewed in Gaylord et al., 2004). The ultimate effect of a forest on overall transport distance is less clear, however, because the degree to which the counteracting effects of slower currents and reduced mixing offset one another has not been examined in any detail. Note also that the dispersal of a spore does not necessarily end upon first contact with the bottom. Turbulent shear near the seabed may resuspend spores after their initial contact and allow them to bounce along the seafloor. Such saltation of spores may occur in Macrocystis because spore attachment appears to be greatly reduced in even moderate flows (approximately 15 cm/second; Gaylord et al., 2002).

Spore dispersal distance is not the sole determinant of colonization distance in kelps. As mentioned earlier (see Section III.A), colonization distance also

Daniel C. Reed et al.

depends on the size of the spore source, due to dilution effects that accompany spore dispersal. Source size is determined by the density and fecundity of the parental population, and the degree to which adults within a population release their spores in concert (Reed et al., 1997). The synchronous release of spores during conditions that promote advection may extend the distance of colonization beyond that expected in the absence of reproductive synchrony. In the case of Macrocystis, increased water motion during storms may trigger large pulses of spore release and promote greater dispersal (Reed et al., 1988, 1997). The importance of storms in promoting colonization extends beyond increased dispersal, because storms also create bare space, which increases the likelihood of successful colonization (Dayton and Tegner, 1984; Ebeling et al., 1985; Reed et al., 1997). Such episodes of storm-enhanced spore dispersal and colonization may also play an important role in the dynamics of local populations if spores that arrive during storm events contribute disproportionately more to recruitment than locally produced spores released during calm conditions. This is possible in kelps, because conditions favorable for recruitment generally follow storms, which reduce competition for light and space (Cowen et al., 1982; Dayton and Tegner, 1984; Dayton et al., 1992), and promote enhanced spore settlement (Reed et al., 1988, 1997).

B. EMPIRICAL ESTIMATES OF SPORE DISPERSAL

We know of only three published accounts of dispersal in *Macrocystis*: two in which dispersal distances were inferred from observations of the density of young sporophytes at varying distances from different sized groups of adults (Anderson and North, 1966; Reed et al., 2004), and one in which dispersal distance was estimated using the density of newly settled gametophytes at 0, 3, and 10 m from isolated adults (Reed et al., 1988). Although these studies have helped to broaden our understanding of dispersal in *Macrocystis*, limitations in their temporal and spatial resolution render them inadequate for determining the extent to which dispersal varies in time and space. Such information is needed to determine levels of connectivity among local kelp populations.

We recently collected data on water motion simultaneously with data on spore dispersal using two different experimental designs to obtain a more comprehensive understanding of spore dispersal in *Macrocystis* and the processes that affect it. One study involved estimating dispersal from individual adult sporophytes, whereas the other entailed estimating dispersal from an experimental population of adult sporophytes. Both experiments were done on a nearly flat area of sandy bottom at 10m depth, near Carpinteria, California, that was at least 1 km away from the nearest *Macrocystis* patch. Having an isolated spore source is key to obtaining empirical estimates of spore dispersal because it allows one

to investigate dispersal distances from the nearest known source of spores without interference from neighboring spore sources. We estimated dispersal from individual adults by transplanting three mature sporophytes 50m apart from each other in a line perpendicular to shore. On 60 dates between January 1998 and April 1999 we recorded the densities of recently settled spores (i.e., gametophytes) on arrays of ground-glass microscope slides placed north, south, east, and west of each of the three sporophytes. Slides were positioned approximately 15 cm above the bottom on a PVC post anchored in the sand at distances of 0.5, 1, 5, and 10m from each sporophyte. Additional slides were placed 50m east and west of the inshore and offshore sporophytes in the array to detect any dispersal over longer distances. In the second study, the experimental population of Macrocystis used to examine dispersal from a larger group of fertile individuals was created by transplanting 64 adult sporophytes in a uniform array (i.e., spaced 3m on center) to a 25 \times 25-m area. Spore settlement in this experiment (hereafter referred to as the kelp bed experiment) was recorded on microscope slides positioned 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 m north, south, east, and west of the edge of the sporophyte array on 29 dates between June 1999 and September 1999. Slides used to collect newly settled spores in both experiments were placed in the field for 2 to 3 days, collected, transported to nearby laboratory facilities and sampled for spore settlement as described in Reed et al. (1988).

When averaged over all trials, spore settlement decreased with distance as a negative power function in both the individual sporophyte and kelp bed experiments (Fig. 10-6). These general patterns of spore dispersal are similar to those described for Macrocystis in earlier studies (see references cited earlier). To explore spatial and temporal patterns of spore dispersal, we used nonlinear regression analysis to estimate dispersal as a function of distance for each trial in each of the two experiments. The equations produced from these regressions were used to calculate the x-intercept, which represents an estimate of the maximum distance that spores dispersed in a given trial. These maximum values were then used to produce frequency distributions for each experiment, showing the percentage of trials in which spore dispersal extended out to different distances (Fig. 10-7). Results indicate there was a greater range in dispersal among trials in the experiment involving individual plants than in the kelp bed experiment. Dispersal in 70% of trials involving individual plants did not exceed 16m, whereas in 5% of trials it was estimated to be more than 2000 m. By contrast, maximum dispersal in nearly all trials from the kelp bed experiment ranged from 80 to 500 m. This difference may have been due in part to differences in the level of spatial resolution in estimates of dispersal distance, particularly in the case of the individual plant experiment, in which we projected dispersal far beyond our maximum sampling distance (50 m). Perhaps more important, trials for the individual plant experiment were done over a 15-month period that encompassed a



FIGURE 10-6. (A, B) Spore settlement density as a function of distance from the spore source for the individual plant experiment (A) and the experimental kelp bed (B). Data are means (\pm 1 SE) of spores averaged across all trials. Note the difference in the scale of the vertical axes of (A) and (B).



FIGURE 10-7. Inverse cumulative frequency distribution of dispersal distances for both the individual plant and kelp bed experiments. Shown are the probabilities that the maximum estimate dispersal in a trial occurred to distance (X) or less.

Value	Current velocity, cm/second		Significant wave height, m	
	Individual	Kelp bed	Individual	Kelp bed
Minimum	0.001	0.04	0.323	0.273
Maximum	8.007	1.797	1.172	0.801
Mean	1.075	0.413	0.697	0.501

371

G

TABLE 10 1. Mean, Minimum, and Maximum Values for Currents and Significant Wave Height for the Individual Plant and Kelp Bed Experiments

Mean currents were calculated over the duration of each experiment.

wider range of oceanographic conditions than the 3-month kelp bed experiment that was done during a calm summer period (Table 10-1). The two variables expected to have the most influence on spore dispersal are currents and waves (Gaylord et al., 2002), both of which were lower in the kelp bed experiment compared to the individual plant experiment.

C. MODELED ESTIMATES OF SPORE DISPERSAL

Gaylord et al. (2002) developed a physically based model for dispersal of macroalgal spores, with specific reference to *Macrocystis*. This model linked wave and current conditions to a boundary layer model of turbulence enabling prediction of profiles of vertical mixing in nearshore habitats. A random walk approach was used in conjunction with the profile of vertical mixing and the rate of spore sinking to simulate the vertical movement of spores after their release from a given height above the bottom, and to estimate the time required for them first to contact the seafloor. An estimate of the dispersal distance was obtained by multiplying the time for a spore to reach the bottom by current speed. This approach provided a rough estimate of the distances spores are transported before first contacting the bottom for fixed wave and current conditions.

Flows in nature, however, are not constant, and the general construct described cannot be expected to predict accurately the dispersal distances at specific locations or times. To address this complicating factor, we extended the approach of Gaylord et al. (2002) to account for variation in flow caused by oscillating currents, which change speed and direction over time. Although one could also incorporate variation in wave height and wave period, such measurements are not as readily available, and as shown in Gaylord et al. (2002), are likely to play a lesser role than currents in determining dispersal distances. Our approach in predicting spore dispersal therefore proceeded as follows. First, we computed the shear velocities corresponding to a range of current and wave conditions in

G

Daniel C. Reed et al.

10 m of water that interact with a seabed characterized by a physical roughness height of 0.08 m, as in Gaylord et al. (2002). Second, we assumed a release of 1000 spores every 2 hours. Third, we tracked the position of these spores until they first contacted the bottom, using the random walk approach described earlier, but with changing flow conditions updated every 20 minutes. This included updating shear velocities that were dictated by wave and current conditions. We repeated this three-step process using current data from two 30-day periods-January 15 to February 15, 2002, and June 1 to 30, 2002-corresponding to the winter and late spring/early summer peaks in spore release exhibited by Macrocystis in southern California (Reed et al., 1996). To simulate better the transport velocity that sweeps suspended spores horizontally near the bottom, we incorporated a linear decrease in current speed in the lowermost 1 m of the water column. This follows from the observation that the velocity profile in the lower 10% of a boundary layer is usually logarithmic (Schlichting, 1979; Grant and Madsen, 1986), which approaches a linear gradient for small distances above the seafloor. Because waves are typically largest in the winter in southern California, we assigned a wave height of 1.0 m in winter (January-February) and 0.5 m in June, both with a 10-second period. As noted earlier, waves were held constant within each 30-day period.

We used data on current speed from two shallow reefs in the Santa Barbara Channel that experience different flow regimes to model temporally varying currents: Carpinteria Reef, located in about 12 m of water, and Naples Reef, located in about 17 m of water (Fig. 10-1). Giant kelp forests commonly occur on both reefs. Currents at both sites were measured from bottom-mounted acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs) placed at the outside edge of the forests at each site as part of the ongoing Santa Barbara Coastal Long-Term Ecological Research Program. Current data from the ADCPs were averaged into 1-m bins that extended from about 2 m above the bottom up to about 1 to 2 m below the surface, depending on wave conditions. Current velocities were recorded at 2minute intervals and were then averaged to 20-minute intervals for use in the dispersal model. Currents at the two sites flow approximately parallel to isobaths and parallel to the coastline, which runs roughly east-west (Fig. 10-1). Currents were averaged vertically and then rotated into principal axis directions for the dispersal model. The major principal axes at both sites are oriented parallel to isobaths, so hereafter this is referred to as the alongshore direction (positive approximately eastward). Similarly, the minor principal axis was taken to be the acrossshore direction (positive onshore, or approximately northward). Alongshore currents were used in the model because they are much stronger than acrossshore currents at both sites (data not shown). Furthermore, currents in shallow water tend to flow parallel to isobaths (e.g., Pedlosky, 1987), so this is likely to be the dominant direction for spore dispersal.





FIGURE 10-8. Histograms of alongshore (principal axis) current speeds at Naples Reef (solid lines) and Carpinteria Reef (dashed line) for two 30-day periods in 2002. Westward currents are to the left of zero and eastward currents are to the right. (A) January 15 February 15: Mean \pm SD, 0.12 \pm 0.05 m/sec and 0.04 \pm 0.03 m/sec for Naples Reef and Carpinteria Reef respectively. (B) June 1–30: Mean \pm SD, 0.13 \pm 0.09 m/sec and 0.06 \pm 0.05 m/sec for Naples Reef and Carpinteria Reef respectively.

Alongshore currents at both sites vary strongly on a wide range of timescales, but exhibit prominent tidal fluctuations. At Carpinteria Reef, tidal fluctuations are semidiurnal whereas at Naples Reef they are diurnal (data not shown). Tidal fluctuations often cause reversals of alongshore currents at both sites, particularly in winter. In summer, current reversals are less common because coastal sea level changes typically force a strong westward flow along the mainland coast of the Santa Barbara Channel (Harms and Winant, 1998).

Histograms of alongshore currents show that current speeds were, on average, two to three times faster at Naples Reef than at Carpinteria Reef, and were generally higher in June than in January/February (Fig. 10-8). Currents were, on average, two to three times faster at Naples Reef compared to Carpinteria Reef. Maximum current speeds were westward at approximately 0.4 m/sec at Naples Reef, but only approximately 0.2 m/sec at Carpinteria Reef. The histograms also show the predominance of westward flow, especially in June. Current speeds experienced by most giant kelp populations in southern California are likely to fall within the range encompassed by these two sites and time periods.

The patterns of spore dispersal predicted from our model reflect differences in current speed distributions observed between the two sites and seasons (Fig. 10-9). Of the four model runs, dispersal was predicted to be greatest for

G



FIGURE 10-9. Dispersal potential of giant kelp spores versus distance between discrete patches. Spore dispersal distributions (solid lines) were simulated on the basis of measured currents at Carpinteria Reef (squares) and Naples Reef (circles) from June 1 to 30, 2001 (open symbols) and January 15 to February 15, 2001 (closed symbols; see text for details). The left vertical axis indicates the probability of dispersing at least as far as the distance on the horizontal axis. The distribution of distances between patches (dashed line) was calculated from distances between all pairs of occupied patches in all months of the study period (418 months). The right vertical axis indicates the percentage of distances between patches that were less than the distance on the horizontal axis.

Naples Reef during June and shortest for Carpinteria during January/February. The more persistent westward flow at both sites probably accounts for the greater dispersal distances in June; more symmetrical current speed distributions probably account for smaller dispersal distances in January/February. Median dispersal distances ranged between 40m for Carpinteria in February and 400m for Naples in June. Ten percent of all spores (i.e., the 90th percentile of the spore dispersal distribution) dispersed approximately 1km at Carpinteria Reef in January/February and 4km at Naples Reef in June before first contacting the bottom. These values are of the same general magnitude as those derived from our empirical studies discussed earlier.



FIGURE 10-10. Spatial distribution of *Macrocystis* patches along the mainland coast of southern California. (A) Mean distance to the nearest occupied neighboring patch, calculated for all patches in the region (69 patches) averaged over the 418-month study period. (B) Mean number of occupied patches (vertical axis) within a given radius of a patch (horizontal axis), averaged over the 418-month study period. Dashed lines indicate ± 1 SD.

V. CONNECTIVITY AMONG LOCAL POPULATIONS

Variability in simulated and empirical distributions of spore dispersal suggests that connectivity among discrete patches of Macrocystis-and hence the metapopulation dynamics of giant kelp forests-may be strongly influenced by local oceanographic conditions. To examine the connectivity of discrete patches of Macrocystis in southern California, we combined dispersal simulations based on the four oceanographic scenarios modeled earlier (i.e., Carpinteria Reef and Naples Reef in January/February and in June) with the 34-year monthly time series of patch extinction-recolonization described in Section II. Average distances between neighboring patches varied from 0.5 to 14km, with 80% of all patches occurring within 2 km of another patch (Fig. 10-10A). Because each patch may have multiple neighbors, a richer description of spatial structure can be gained by considering the number of neighbors encountered as a function of distance from a patch. In southern California, patches have on average relatively few (approximately one to three) neighbors within 10km; the number of neighboring patches increases rapidly in neighborhoods greater than 10 km in size (Fig. 10-10B).

The distribution of distances among all possible combinations of patches of giant kelp in southern California ranges from hundreds of meters to hundreds of kilometers (Fig. 10-9, dashed line). When our simulated spore dispersal profiles are overlaid on this pattern, we find that the level of potential connectivity among

all patches (i.e., the probability that any two randomly selected patches in southern California are directly connected by spore dispersal) ranged from 0.37 to 1.58%, depending on the specific current regime (see overlap between the distributions of dispersal distance [solid lines] and interpatch distance [dashed line] in Fig. 10-9).

Estimates of the proportion of propagules dispersing a given distance before first contacting the bottom provide only a crude measure of the actual level of connectivity among discrete patches. More accurate measures of the degree of connectivity require information on the absolute numbers of propagules that are exchanged between neighboring patches and on their probability of survival after settlement. This is particularly important in kelps, because their spores need to settle at high densities to ensure subsequent reproduction (see Section III.A). Obtaining information on absolute estimates of spore exchange rates for Macrocystis is difficult for a number of reasons. The models of dispersal presented here assume spores settle at first contact with the bottom, but saltation after primary contact could substantially extend dispersal (Gaylord et al., 2002). The degree to which this occurs in nature, however, is unknown. Moreover, the probability of reproductive success for spores that have spent long periods in the water column, particularly those that have stopped swimming and/or germinated, is poorly understood, as are the chances of reproduction between different-age gametophytes. All these processes could influence the "effective" dispersal distance of kelp spores in nature, and deserve further detailed study.

As noted in Section III.A, the density of spores that settle at a given distance from their point of release is proportional to the size of their parental spore source. Our modeled estimates of connectivity assume that spore sources (i.e., the standing crop of spores in a patch) are spatially and temporally homogenous. Clearly this is not true, and our values of connectivity may be underestimated in the case of large and/or continuous spore sources, and overestimated in the case of small and/or sporadic spore sources. The effects of variation in the size of the spore source on the level of connectivity can be evaluated to some extent by examining connectivity under different threshold levels of spore dispersal. The rationale here is that a larger proportion of spores will settle at densities sufficient for fertilization when released from a large spore source compared with a smaller spore source. Other factors influencing spore source strength include the abundance and per capita fecundity of adult plants (Graham, 2003; Reed et al., 2004), the degree to which they display synchrony in spore release (Reed et al., 1997), and oceanographic conditions affecting dilution during transport (Gaylord et al., 2004). Thus, a dispersal threshold defined by the 50th percentile of the spore dispersal distribution could be viewed as representing connectivity to a relatively small/weak spore source, whereas a larger/stronger spore source might result in connectivity at distances reached by only 10% of spores (i.e., the 90th percentile of the dispersal distance distribution).

Figure 10-11 shows frequency distributions of average connectivity (i.e., number of neighboring patches connected via dispersal) for different dispersal thresholds, defined by using percentiles of the dispersal distance probability distribution. Depending on site, season, and the dispersal threshold chosen to define connectivity, an average patch of Macrocystis may be completely isolated or have as many as four or five connected neighbors. For example, during June conditions at Carpinteria Reef, 10% of spores (equivalent to a cutoff percentile of 90%) were estimated to disperse at least $x_c = 2.4$ km (Fig. 10-11). Under these conditions, 42% of patches would exchange spores with one other patch and 5% of patches would exchange with four other patches; 19% would not exchange spores with any other patches. Higher current speeds, such as for June conditions at Naples, would result in exchanges among more patches. That the level of connectivity was highly sensitive to the choice of the threshold dispersal rate argues that connectivity among discrete patches of giant kelp depends greatly on factors affecting the strength of the spore source (e.g., the standing crop of spores in a patch) as well as the spacing among patches. Thus, spatial and temporal patterns of adult fecundity in giant kelp may influence regional patterns of colonization in much the same manner as has been found for acroporid corals in the Great Barrier Reef (Hughes et al., 2000).

VI. SUMMARY

Our analyses of local extinctions, colonization, and immigration (via spore dispersal) suggest that the metapopulation concept is likely to prove useful in explaining the population dynamics and genetic structure of Macrocystis. Limitations on dispersal in giant kelp appear to prevent patches within a region from behaving as a single large population. However, although most patches within a region are not directly linked, neither are they completely isolated. The average kelp bed in southern California appears to be connected to one to three neighboring kelp beds via spore dispersal for a relatively wide range of oceanographic conditions. More important, connectivity even among nearby patches seems to be mediated by spores that travel far beyond the median dispersal distance (i.e., 75th–90th percentile; Fig. 10-11); that is, a relatively small fraction of dispersing spores accounts for a disproportionate amount of interpatch connectivity. As a result, persistence of a giant kelp metapopulation depends on the tails of the dispersal curve and cannot be predicted simply from the average or median dispersal distance. This result is consistent with theoretical predictions that (re)colonization and population spread are highly dependent on the tails of a dispersal distribution (Kot et al., 1996), but contrasts with results for stable environments in which persistence is relatively insensitive to the tails of the dispersal curve (Lockwood et al., 2002).





Cutoff percentile

G

Patch size, fecundity, and proximity to neighboring patches undoubtedly exert strong influences on the level of connectivity among patches. Environmental stochasticity arising from biotic and abiotic disturbances appears to be the primary force driving extinctions and recolonizations of giant kelp populations in southern California. Local extinctions caused by recruitment failure (i.e., demographic stochasticity) to our knowledge have not been reported for giant kelp. This may be due in part to the high capacity of giant kelp forests to produce and retain large numbers of spores, which allows for self-replenishment. The relative contributions of self-seeding and spore immigration to population persistence in giant kelp is unknown and warrants further investigation.

That the vast majority of extinction events in our 34-year study period persisted for less than 2 years indicates that recruitment failure in unoccupied patches is a short-term phenomenon and the immigration of spores from neighboring patches is a common occurrence. The scenario revealed by our analyses suggests that giant kelp in southern California is a spatially structured metapopulation in which exchange occurs primarily between neighboring patches and is strongly influenced by patch size, fecundity (i.e., spore standing crop), spatial arrangement, and oceanographic conditions. Because giant kelp populations are distributed in a narrow depth range along the coastline, and because nearshore currents (the dominant mechanism for dispersal) flow primarily along-shore, the steppingstone exchange among neighboring patches is approximately one-dimensional. Such limitations on connectivity, coupled with large geographical gradients in environmental conditions, likely account for the ecotypic variation observed for *Macrocystis* in California (Kopczak et al., 1991).

Compared with many terrestrial habitats, the aqueous medium through which kelp propagules disperse is relatively unstructured. Moreover, unlike passively dispersed kelp spores and drifters, immigrants in many terrestrial metapopulations exhibit complex behavioral interactions with the heterogenous landscape that influence connectivity between patches. However, it is important to recognize that the physical properties of the nearshore ocean are not devoid of spatial and temporal structure, and certain areas or times may be subjected to currents

FIGURE 10-11. Estimated connectivity of giant kelp patches along the mainland coast of southern California for the four simulated current regimes (columns) and for three spore dispersal scenarios (rows). Connectivity is defined as the number of occupied patches within the effective dispersal distance of a source patch. Spore dispersal was simulated under the current regimes measured at two sites (Carpinteria Reef and Naples Reef) and two seasons (January 15–February 15, 2001; June 1–30, 2001). Different spore dispersal scenarios (which may correspond to variation in patch size, fecundity, degree of synchrony in spore release, and diffusive dilution) are simulated by choosing effective dispersal distances (x_c) ranging from the 50th to the 90th cutoff percentiles of the four spore dispersal profiles. Values reported are averages for the 69 patches calculated over the 418-month study period.

Daniel C. Reed et al.

and waves that are more conducive to promoting connectivity than others. Indeed, our analyses of connectivity involving Naples Reef and Carpinteria Reef indicate this to be the case. Thus the nearshore habitat where kelp occurs may represent a more dynamic analog of the terrestrial concept of a landscape matrix (Weins, 1977). Perhaps even more important to the metapopulation structure and dynamics of giant kelp are the effects of a heterogenous environment on the ability of immigrants to become established, grow, and reproduce. Shallow reefs may differ greatly from each other in habitat quality as a result of differences in topography, wave exposure, sedimentation, nutrients, and other geophysical and chemical properties. Differences in colonization success, growth, and reproduction among patches of different quality may greatly affect the magnitude of "effective" connectivity between patches.

Our estimates of spore dispersal when viewed in the context of the size and distribution of discrete patches suggest that many local populations of Macrocystis in the Southern California Bight are "on the edge" with respect to connectivity. Relatively small increases in distances between patches could lead to substantial increases in demographic isolation. This is of particular concern because the last century has seen an increase in the average distance between reefs potentially habitable by giant kelp, due in part to anthropogenic impacts including substrate burial and reduced water clarity near major ports, warm water effluent from generating stations, and municipal sewage outfalls (Crandall, 1912; Harger, 1983; Wilson and North, 1983; Schroeter et al., 1993; Bence et al., 1996). Continued changes in the configuration of nearshore habitat suitable for giant kelp forests in this region will likely present severe challenges for conservation, persistence, and local adaptation/evolution of giant kelp populations. However, because the level of connectivity among patches is strongly dependent on the length of the "effective" dispersal tail, additional research is needed to determine the amount of dispersal that constitutes connectivity, which broadly defined includes spore dispersal, settlement, and postsettlement success.

The strong dependence of giant kelp connectivity and patch dynamics on environmental factors such as geomorphology (distribution of rocky substrate) and oceanography (wave disturbance and nutrient stress) suggests that the metapopulation structure of this species is likely to differ substantially among regions. For example, populations along stretches of the coast of Baja California, Mexico, are far more isolated than any of the patches we studied, whereas central California kelp populations occur in a near-continuous band throughout much of the region. A better understanding of regional variation in metapopulation structure may help to explain observations of extreme variation in persistence and dynamics of this species across its global range (reviewed in North, 1994).

Finally, we note that because our approximations of patch extinction and recolonization suffer from methodological limitations that could lead to either over- or underestimates (see Section II), actual connectivity may be somewhat

380

different from that suggested by our analyses. For example, our modeling did not incorporate cross-shore flows or dilution resulting from lateral mixing—two poorly understood processes that probably limit effective dispersal distance and thus reduce connectivity among patches. A detailed examination of these and other potential limitations of our analyses is beyond the scope of this chapter. Clearly, further research is needed to characterize extinction and colonization at large scales using high-resolution mapping, as well as to quantify more accurately the effects of spore saltation; delayed recruitment; variation in the number, spacing, and fecundity of plants within a patch; and various physical processes (e.g., variability in waves and turbulence) on the effective colonization distance of giant kelp. Studies of population genetics may prove useful in this regard. The estimates of connectivity presented here provide a platform for future studies on the metapopulation ecology of giant kelp and other seaweeds.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the National Science Foundation for their continued support of their investigations of the mechanisms that promote colonization in kelp following local extinctions. They thank N. Morgan for assistance in analyzing current data and M. Anghera for his tireless efforts in the field. D. Glantz kindly provided access to ISP Alginates, Inc., historical records of giant kelp abundance. J. Kum, M. Johnson, N. Wright, and M. Merrifield facilitated access to California Department of Fish and Game kelp surveys. B. Turner provided technical assistance in development of the composite kelp map. Funding for the preparation of this chapter was supplied by the National Science Foundation (grant nos. OCE99-82105 and OCE02-41447), and the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation. This is contribution number 199 from PISCO, the Partnership for Interdisciplinary Studies of Coastal Oceans, funded primarily by the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Amsler, C.D., and Neushul, M. (1989a). Chemotactic effects of nutrients on spores of the kelps Macrocystis pyrifera and Pterygophora californica. Mar. Biol. 102, 557–564.
- Amsler, C.D., and Neushul, M. (1989b). Diel periodicity of spore release from the kelp Nereocystis luetkeana (Mertens) Postels et Ruprecht. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 134, 117–127.
- Amsler, C.D., and Neushul, M. (1990). Nutrient stimulation of spore settlement in the kelps Macrocystis pyrifera and Pterygophora californica. Mar. Biol. 107, 297–304.
- Amsler, C.A., and Neushul, M. (1991). Photosynthetic physiology and chemical composition of spores of the kelps Macrocystis pyrifera, Nereocystis luetkeana, Laminaria farlowii, and Pterygophora californica (Phaeophyceae). J. Phycol. 27, 26–34.
- Anderson, E.K., and North, WJ. (1966). In situ studies of spore production and dispersal in the giant kelp Macrocystis pyrifera. Proc. International Seaweed Symp. 5, 73–86.
- Anderson, E.K., and North, WJ. (1967). Zoospore release rates in giant kelp Macrocystis. Bull. South. Calif. Acad. Sci. 66, 223–232.

Daniel C. Reed et al.

382

- Bence, J.R., Stewart–Oaten, A., and Schroeter, S.C. (1996). Estimating the size of an effect from a before-after-control-impact paired series design: The predictive approach applied to a power plant study. In *Detecting ecological impacts: Concepts and applications in coastal habitats* (R.J. Schmitt and C.W. Osenberg, eds., pp. 133–151). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Boland, W., Marner, F.J., Jaenicke, L., Müller, D.G., and Folster, E. (1983). Comparative receptor study in gamete chemotaxis of the seaweeds *Ectocarpus siliculosus* and *Cutleria multifida*: An approach to interspecific communication of algal gametes. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 134, 97–103.
- Brown, J.H., and Kodric–Brown, A. (1977). Turnover rates in insular biogeography: Effect of immigration on extinction. *Ecology*. 58, 445–449.
- Brzezinski, M., Reed, D.C., and Amsler, C.D. (1993). Neutral lipids as major storage products in zoospores of the giant kelp, *Macrocystis pyrifera*. J. Phycol. 29, 16–23.
- Burgman, M.A., and Gerard, V.A. (1990). A stage-structured, stochastic population model for the giant kelp Macrocystis pyrifera. Mar. Biol. 105, 15–23.
- Chapman, A.R.O. (1986). Population and community ecology of seaweeds. In Advances in marine biology (J.H.S. Baxter and A.J. Southwood, eds., pp. 1–161). London: Academic Press.
- Cowen, R.C., Agegian, C.R., and Foster, M.F. (1982). The maintenance of community structure in a central California giant kelp forest. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 64, 189–201.
- Crandall, W.C. (1912). The kelps of the southern California coast: Fertilizer resources. In U. S. 62nd Congress, 2nd Senate Session (pp. 209–213). Doc. 190. Appendix N.
- Darwin, C. (1860). The voyage of the Beagle. Garden City, NY: Anchor Books, Doubleday and Co.
- Davis, N., VanBlaricom, G.R., and Dayton, P.K. (1982). Man-made structures on marine sediments: Effects on adjacent benthic communities. *Mar. Biol.* 70, 295–303.
- Dayton, P.K. (1985). The ecology of kelp communities. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 16, 215-245.
- Dayton, P.K., Currie, V., Gerrodette, T., Keller, B., Rosenthal, R., and Van Tresca, D. (1984). Patch dynamics and stability of some southern California kelp communities. *Ecol. Monogr.* 54, 253–289.
- Dayton, P.K., and Tegner, M.J. (1984). Catastrophic storms, El Niño and patch stability in a southern California kelp community. *Science*. **224**, 283–285.
- Dayton, P.K., and Tegner, M.J. (1989). Bottoms beneath troubled waters: Benthic impacts of the 1982–1984 El Niño in the temperate zone. In *Global ecological consequences of the* 1982–83 El Niño–southern oscillation (P.W. Glynn, ed., pp. 433–472). Oceanographic series no. 52. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Dayton, P.K., Tegner, M.J., Edwards, P.B., and Riser K.L. (1999). Temporal and spatial scales of kelp demography: The role of oceanographic climate. *Ecol. Mongr.* **69**, 219–250.
- Dayton, P.K., Tegner, M.J., Parnell, P.E., and Edwards, P.B. (1992). Temporal and spatial patterns of disturbance and recovery in a kelp forest community. *Ecol. Monogr.* 62, 421–445.
- Dean, T.A., Schroeter, S.C., and Dixon, J. (1984). Effects of grazing by two species of sea urchins (Strongylocentrotus franciscanus and Lytechinus anamesus) on the recruitment and survival of two species of kelp (Macrocystis pyrifera and Pterygophora californica). Mar. Biol. 78, 301–313.
- Dean, T.A., and Jacobsen, F.R. (1984). Growth of juvenile Macrocystis pyrifera (Laminariales) in relation to environmental factors. Mar. Biol. 83, 301–311.
- Dean, T.A., and Jacobsen, ER. (1986). Nutrient-limited growth of juvenile kelp, *Macrocystis pyrifera* during the 1982–1984 "El Niño" in southern California. *Mar. Biol.* **90**, 597–601.
- Dean, T.A., Thies, K., and Lagos, S.L. (1989). Survival of juvenile giant kelp: The effects of demographic factors, competitors and grazers. *Ecology*. 70, 483–495.
- Devinny, J.S., and Volse, L.A. (1978). Effects of sediments on the development of Macrocystis pyriferal gametophytes. Mar. Biol. 48, 343–348.
- Deysher, L., and Dean, T.A. (1984). Critical irradiance levels and the interactive effects of quantum irradiance and quantum dose on gametogenesis in the giant kelp, *Macrocystis pyrifera*. J. Phycol. 20, 520–524.

- Deysher, L., and Dean, T.A. (1986). In situ recruitment of the giant kelp, Macrocystis pyrifera: Effects of physical factors. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 103, 41–63.
- Ebeling, A.W., Laur D.R., and Rowley, R.J. (1985). Severe storm disturbances and the reversal of community structure in a southern California kelp forest. Mar. Biol. 84, 287–294.

Edwards, M.S. (2001). Scale dependent patterns of community regulation in giant kelp forests. PhD dissertation. Santa Cruz, CA: University of California.

Estes, J.A., and Steinberg, P.D. (1988). Predation, herbivory, and kelp evolution. *Paleobiology.* 14, 19–36.

Foster, M.S. (1982). The regulation of macroalgal associations in kelp forests. In Synthetic and degradative processes in marine macrophytes (L. Srivastava, ed., pp. 185–205). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.

Foster, M.S., and Schiel, D.R. (1985). The ecology of giant kelp forests in California: A community profile. Biological report 85(7.2). Slidell, LA: United States Fish and Wildlife Service.

- Fritsch, F.E. (1945). The structure and reproduction of the algae (vol. II). London: Cambridge University Press.
- Gaylord, B., Reed, D.C., Raimondi, P.T., Washburn, L., and McLean, L. (2002). A physically-based model of macroalgal spore dispersal in the wave and current-dominated nearshore. *Ecology*. 83, 1239–1251.
- Gaylord, B., Reed, D.C., Washburn, L., and Raimondi, P.T. (2004). Physical–biological coupling in spore dispersal of kelp forest macroalgae. J. Mar. Systems 49, 19–39.
- Gotelli, N.J. (1991). Metapopulation models: The rescue effect, the propagule rain and the core satellite hypothesis. American Naturalist. 138, 768–776.
- Graham, M.H. (2000). Planktonic patterns and processes in the giant kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera*. PhD dissertation. San Diego: University of California.
- Graham, M.H. (2002). Prolonged reproductive consequences of short-term biomass loss in seaweeds. Mar. Biol. 140, 901–911.
- Graham, M.H. (2003). Coupling propagule output to supply at the edge and the interior of a giant kelp forest. *Ecology*. **84**, 1250–1264.
- Graham, M.H., Harrold, C., Lisin, S., Light, K., Watanabe, J.M., and Foster, M.S. (1997). Population dynamics of giant kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera* along a wave exposure gradient. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 148, 269–279.
- Grant, W.D., and Madsen, O.S. (1986). The continental-shelf bottom boundary layer. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 18, 265–305.
- Hanski, I. (1999). Metapopulation ecology. New York: Oxford University Press.

Harger, B. (1983). A historical overview of kelp in southern California. In *The effects of waste disposal on kelp communities* (W. Bascom, ed., pp. 70–83). Southern California Coastal Water Research Project, Long Beach. Long Beach, CA: Southern California Coastal Water Research Project.

- Harms, S., and Winant, C.D. (1998). Characteristic patterns of the circulation in the Santa Barbara Channel. J. Geophys. Res. 130, 3041–3065.
- Harris, L.G., Ebeling, A.W., Laur, D.R., and Rowley, R.J. (1984). Community recovery after storm damage: A case of facilitation in primary succession. *Science*. **224**, 1336–1338.
- Harrold, C., and Lisin, S. (1989). Radio-tracking rafts of giant kelp: Local production and regional transport. J. Exp. Ecol. Mar. Biol. 130, 237–251.

Harrold, C., and Pearse, J.S. (1987). The ecological role of echinoderms in kelp forests. In *Echino*derm studies (M. Jangoux and J.M. Lawrence, eds., vol. 2, pp. 137–233). Rotterdam: A.A. Balkema.

Harrold, C., and Reed, D.C. (1985). Food availability, sea urchin grazing and kelp forest community structure. *Ecology*. 63, 547–560.

- Hobday, A.J. (2000). Abundance and dispersal of drifting kelp Macrocystis pyrifera rafts in the Southern California Bight. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 195, 101–116.
- Hoffmann, A.J., and Santelices, B. (1991). Banks of algal microscopic forms: Hypotheses on their functioning and comparisons with seed banks. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 79, 185–194.

Daniel C. Reed et al.

384

- Hughes, T.P., Baird, A.H., Dinsdale, E.A., Moltschaniwskyi, N.A., Pratchett, M.S., Tanner, J.E., and Willis, B.L. (2000). Supply-side ecology works both ways: The link between benthic adults, fecundity, and larval recruits. *Ecology*. 81, 2241–2249.
- Jackson, G.A. (1998). Currents in the high drag environment of a coastal kelp stand off California. Cont. Shelf Res. 17, 1913–1928.
- Jackson, G.A., and Winant, C.D. (1983). Effects of a kelp forest on coastal currents. *Cont. Shelf. Res.* 2, 75–80.
- Kain, J.S. (1979). A view of the genus Laminaria. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 17, 101-161.
- Kingsford, M.J. (1995). Drift algae: A contribution to near-shore habitat complexity in the pelagic environment and an attractant for fish. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 116, 297–301.
- Kinlan, B.P., Graham, M.H., Sala, E., and Dayton, P.K. (2003). Arrested development of giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*, Phaeophyceae) embryonic sporophytes: A mechanism for delayed recruitment in perennial kelps? J. Phycol. 39, 47–57.
- Kopczak, C.D., Zimmerman, R.C., and Kremer, J.N. (1991). Variation in nitrogen physiology and growth among geographically isolated populations of the giant kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera*—Phaeophyta. J. Phycol. 27, 149–158.
- Kot, M., Lewis, M.A., and van den Driessche, P. (1996). Dispersal data and the spread of invading organisms. *Ecology*. 77, 2027–2042.
- Ladah, L.B., Zertuche–Gonzalez, J.A., and Hernandez–Carmona, G. (1999). Giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*, Phaeophyceae) recruitment near its southern limit in Baja California and mass disappearance during ENSO 1997–1998. J. Phycol. 35, 1106–1112.
- Leonard, G.H. (1994). Effect of the bat star Asterina miniata (Brandt) on recruitment of the giant kelp Macrocystis pyrifera C. Agardh. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 179, 81–98.
- Lewis, R.J. (1996). Chromosomes of the brown algae. Phycologia. 35, 19-40.
- Lockwood, D.R., Hastings, A., and Botsford, L.W. (2002). The effects of dispersal patterns on marine reserves: Does the tail wag the dog? *Theo. Pop. Biol.* 61, 297–309.
- Lüning, K., and Neushul, M. (1978). Light and temperature demands for growth and reproduction of laminarian gametophytes in southern and central California. *Mar. Biol.* **45**, 297–309.
- Mann, K.H. (1973). Seaweeds: Their productivity and strategy for growth. Science. 182, 975–981.
- Mann, K.H. (1982). Ecology of coastal waters. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- McNair, J.N., Newbold, J.D., and Hart, D.D. (1997). Turbulent transport of suspended particles and dispersing benthic organisms: How long to hit bottom? J. Theor. Biol. 188, 29–52.
- Müller, D.G. (1981). Sexuality and sexual attraction. In *The biology of seaweeds* (C.S. Lobban and M.J. Wynne, eds., pp. 661–674). Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications.
- Murray, S.N., and Bray, R.N. (1993). Benthic macrophytes. In Ecology of the Southern California Bight (M.D. Dailey, D.J. Reish, and J.W. Anderson, eds., pp. 304–368). Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Neushul, M. (1963). Studies on giant kelp, Macrocystis. II. Reproduction. Am. J. Bot. 50, 354-359.
- Nisbet, R.M., and Bence, J.R. (1989). Alternative dynamic regimes for canopy forming kelp: A variant on density vague population regulation. *Am. Nat.* **134**, 377–408.
- North, W.J. (1971). The biology of giant kelp beds (Macrocystis) in California. Lehre: Verlag Von J. Cramer.
- North, WJ. (1994). Review of *Macrocystis* biology. In *Biology of economic algae* (I. Akatsuka, ed., pp. 447–527). The Hague, Netherlands: SPB Academic Publishing.
- North, WJ., James, D.E., and Jones, L.G. (1993) History of kelp beds (*Marcocystis*) in Orange and San Diego counties, California. *Hydrobiologia*. 260/261, 277–283.
- Pearse, J.S., and Hines, A.H. (1979). Expansion of a central California kelp forest following the mass mortality of sea urchins. *Mar. Biol.* 51, 83–91.
- Pedlosky, J. (1987). Geophysical fluid dynamics (2nd ed.). New York: Springer-Verlag.

- Raimondi, P.T., Reed, D.C., Gaylord, B., and Washburn, L. (2004). Effects of self-fertilization in the giant kelp, *Macrocystis pyrifera*. Ecology. 85, 3267–3276.
- Reed, D.C. (1990). The effects of variable settlement and early competition on patterns of kelp recruitment. *Ecology.* 71, 776–787.
- Reed, D.C., Amsler, C.D., and Ebeling, A.W. (1992). Dispersal in kelps: Factors affecting spore swimming and competency. *Ecology*. 73, 1577–1585.
- Reed, D.C., Anderson, T.W., Ebeling, A.W., and Anghera, M. (1997). The role of reproductive synchrony in the colonization potential of kelp. *Ecology*. 78, 2443–2457.
- Reed, D.C., Brzezinski, M.A., Coury, D.A., Graham, W.M., and Petty, R.L. (1999). Neutral lipids in macroalgal spores and their role in swimming. *Mar. Biol.* **133**, 737–744.
- Reed, D.C., Ebeling, A.W., Anderson, T.W., and Anghera, M. (1996). Differential reproductive responses to fluctuating resources in two seaweeds with different reproductive strategies. *Ecology.* 77, 300–316.
- Reed, D.C., and Foster, M.S. (1984). The effects of canopy shading on algal recruitment and growth in a giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) forest. *Ecology*. 65, 937–948.
- Reed, D.C., Laur, D.R., and Ebeling, A.W. (1988). Variation in algal dispersal and recruitment: The importance of episodic events. *Ecol. Monogr.* 58, 321–335.
- Reed, D.C., and Lewis, R.J. (1994). Effects of an oil and gas production effluent on the colonization potential of giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) zoospores. *Mar. Biol.* 119, 277–283.
- Reed, D.C., Lewis, R.J., and Anghera, M. (1994). Effects of an open coast oil production outfall on patterns of giant kelp (*Macrocystis pyrifera*) recruitment. *Mar. Biol.* 120, 26–31.
- Reed, D.C., Neushul, M., and Ebeling, A.W. (1991). Role of settlement density on gametophyte growth and reproduction in the kelps *Pterygophora californica* and *Macrocystis pyrifera* (Phaeophyceae). J. Phycol. 27, 361–366.
- Reed, D.C., Raimondi, P.T., Carr, M.H., and Goldwasser, L. (2000). The role of dispersal and disturbance in determining spatial heterogeneity in sedentary organisms. *Ecology.* 81, 2011–2026.
- Reed, D.C., Schroeter, S.C., and Raimondi, P.T. (2004). Spore supply and habitat availability as sources of recruitment limitation in giant kelp. *J. Phycol.* **40**, 275–284.
- Rosenthal, R.J., Clarke, W.D., and Dayton, P.K. (1974). Ecology and natural history of a stand of giant kelp Macrocystis pyrifera, off Del Mar, California. Fish. Bull. 72, 670–684.
- Schiel, D.R., and Foster, M.S. (1986). The structure of subtidal algal stands in temperate waters. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. Annu. Rev. 24, 265–307.
- Schlichting, H. (1979). Boundary-layer theory (7th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Schroeter, S.C., Dixon, J.D., Kastendiek, J.D., Smith R.O., and Bence, J.R. (1993). Detecting the ecological effects of environmental impacts: A case study of kelp forest invertebrates. *Ecol. Appl.* 3, 331–350.
- Seymour, R., Tegner, M.J., Dayton, P.K., and Parnell, P.E. (1989). Storm wave induced mortality of giant kelp Macrocystis pyrifera in southern California. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 28, 277–292.
- Tegner, M.J., and Dayton, P.K. (1987) El Niño effects on southern California kelp forest communities. Adv. Ecol. Res. 17, 243–279.
- Tegner, M.J., Dayton, P.K., Edwards, P.B., Riser, K.L., et al. (1997). Large-scale, low frequency oceanographic effects on kelp forest succession: A tale of two cohorts. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 146, 117–134.
- Thomas, C.D., and Hanski, I. (1997). Butterfly metapopulations. In Metapopulation dynamics: Ecology, genetics and evolution (I. Hanski and M. Gilpin, eds., pp. 359–386). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Wiens, J.A. (1997). Metapopulation dynamics and landscape ecology. In Metapopulation dynamics: Ecology, genetics and evolution (I. Hanski and M. Gilpin, eds., pp. 43–62). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Wilson, K.H., and North, W.J. (1983). A review of kelp bed management in southern California. J. World Maricult. Soc. 14, 347–359.

- Witman, J.D., and Dayton, P.K. (2001) Rocky subtidal communities. In *Marine community ecology* (M.D. Bertness, S.D. Gaines, and M.E. Hay, eds., pp. 339–360). Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.
- Wormersley, H.B.S. (1954). The species of *Macrocystis* with special reference to those on southern Australia coasts. Univ. Calif. Publ. Bot. 27, 109–132.
- Zimmerman, R.C., and Robertson, D.L. (1985). Effects of the 1983 El Niño on growth of giant kelp Macrocystis pyrifera at Santa Catalina Island. Limnol. Oceanogr. 30, 1298–1302.
- ZoBell, C.E. (1971). Drift seaweeds on San Diego county beaches. In *The biology of giant kelp beds* (Macrocystis) in *California* (W.J. North, ed., pp. 269–314). Lehre: Verlag Von J. Cramer.